

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/827,229	04/06/2001	Zvia Agur	Q63893	7712	
75	7590 01/22/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			MORAN, MA	MORAN, MARJORIE A	
	N, DC 20037-3213	•••	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1631		

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	oplicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/827,229	AGUR ET AL.				
, and the state of	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	,			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
 (a)						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the new matter rejection under 35 USC 112.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting requesting application in condition for allowance be under 35 USC 112, 2nd para. and 103 are maintained. are not convincing as THOMAS is relied upon for a teaching of a particular model. Arguments with regulimitations are not recited in the instant claims. 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: the amendment has not be The arguments with regard to an au- thing that animal models may be us gard to particular aspects of human	een entered, therefore nimal model vs. a con ed to formulate huma models are not persu	e all rejections nputer model nn models, NOT uasive as these			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or b)[$oxtimes$ uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered a v or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 66-117, 332-349.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>118-167</u> .	- \ 	–				
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>08 January 2004</u> is a		oved by the Examir	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement10. Other: <u>See also the attached Interview Summary</u>	i(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>20</u>	<u>030708</u> . Jan	your a syra			

Marjorie A. Moran Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1631

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Application No. 009/827,229Part of Paper No. 20040115

Continuation of 2. NOTE: a limitation for a "change in cell number" and the new labels in Figure 7 appear to be new matter.